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#### Abstract

In this paper, we will introduce the variety of bounded Hilbert algebras with Moisil possibility operators $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}$, called $M I_{n}^{0}$-algebras. First, we give a characterization of $M I_{n}^{0}$-congruences in terms of a particular class of deductive systems, namely modal deductive systems. Furthermore, from the above results on $M I_{n}^{0}$-congruences, the principal ones are described. In addition, we proved that the variety of $M I_{n}^{0}$-algebras is semisimple.
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## Introduction

In 1923, David Hilbert proposed to study implicative fragment of intuitionistic propositional calculus. This fragment is well-known as positive implicative calculus and its study was begun in 1935 by D. Hilbert and P. Bernays.

In 1950, L. Henkin ([13]) introduced implicative models as algebraic models of positive implicative calculus. Later, A. Monteiro renamed it as Hilbert algebras and his Ph. D. student A. Diego ([10, 11, 12]) made one of the most important contributions to this algebraic structure which we can define as follow:

A Hilbert algebra (or $I$-algebra) is an algebra $\langle A, \rightarrow, 1\rangle$ of type $(2,0)$ such that the following axioms hold in $A$ :
(I1) $1 \rightarrow x=x$,
(I2) $x \rightarrow x=1$,
(I3) $x \rightarrow(y \rightarrow z)=(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow(x \rightarrow z)$,
(I4) $(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x)=(y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y)$.
The variety of Hilbert algebras is denoted by $\mathcal{I}$. For each $A \in \mathcal{I}$ the following properties are verified:
(I5) $x \rightarrow 1=1$,
(I6) the binary relation $\leq$ defined by $x \leq y$ if and only if $x \rightarrow y=1$ is a partial order on $A$ with greatest element 1.
(I7) $x \rightarrow(y \rightarrow z)=y \rightarrow(x \rightarrow z)$,
(I8) $x \leq y$ implies $y \rightarrow z \leq x \rightarrow z$,
(I9) $x \rightarrow(y \rightarrow x)=1$,
(I10) $x \leq y$ implies $z \rightarrow x \leq z \rightarrow y$.
A. Monteiro ([16]), proved that the semisimple $I$-algebras are those that verify the additional identity:
(I11) $(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x=x$.
This author called Tarski algebras to semisimple $I$-algebras and Pierce law to identity I11.
(I12) Let $A$ be an $I$-algebra and let $t \in A$. We say that $t \in A$ is a tarskian element of $A$ if $t$ satisfies the identity:
(T) $(t \rightarrow x) \rightarrow t=t$ for all $x \in A$,

The set of all tarskian elements of an $I$-algebra $A$ is denoted by $T(A)$.

Let $A$ be a Hilbert algebra. A subset $D \subseteq A$ is a deductive system of $A$ $([2,14])$ if $1 \in D$ and if $x, x \rightarrow y \in D$, then $y \in D$. The set of all deductive systems of a Hilbert algebra $A$ is denoted by $\mathcal{D}(A)$.

Other interesting properties of $I$-algebras are the following:
(I13) The deductive system generated by a set $X \subseteq A$ is $[X):=\bigcap\{D \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ : $X \subseteq D\}$. In particular, if $X=\{a\}$, the principal deductive system is $[a)=\{x \in A: a \leq x\}$.
(I14) If $A$ is an $I$-algebra and $\operatorname{Con}_{\mathbf{I}}(A)$ is the set of all I-congruences of $A$, then $\operatorname{Con}_{\mathbf{I}}(A)=\{R(D): D \in \mathcal{D}(A)\}$ where $R(D)=\left\{(x, y) \in A^{2}: x \rightarrow\right.$ $y \in D, y \rightarrow x \in D\}$. Besides, $[1]_{R(D)}=D$ and if $\Theta \in \operatorname{Con}_{\mathbf{I}}(A)$, then $R\left([1]_{\Theta}\right)=\Theta$.

A bounded Hilbert algebra (see $[3,5]$ ) is a Hilbert algebra $A$ with an element $0 \in A$ such that $0 \rightarrow a=1$, for every $a \in A$. The notation $a^{*}$ means $a \rightarrow 0$.

The following result has been proved by Buşneag in $[2,4]$.
(I15) Let $A$ be a bounded Hilbert algebra. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $A$ is a Boolean lattice,
(ii) for all $x \in A, x^{* *}=x$.

## $1 \quad \mathrm{MI}_{\mathrm{n}}$-algebras

Gr. C. Moisil introduced the 3-valued Łukasiewicz algebras as algebraic models of 3 -valued Łukasiewicz propositional calculus. It is well known that in 3valued Łukasiewicz algebras it is possible to define an implication operator which shows that 3 -valued Lukasiewicz algebras are a special case of Hilbert algebras. This result was, in some way, the motivation of the papers [6] and [7].
L. Iturrioz introduced in [15] the notion of modal operators on symmetric Heyting algebras and defined the class of $S H_{n}$-algebras. In [7, 8] Canals Frau and Figallo consider some reducts of this class. In particular, they introduced the following definition.

A Hilbert algebra of order $n,(n \geq 2)$, with the Moisil possibility operators (or $M I_{n}$-algebra) is an algebra $\left\langle A, \rightarrow, \sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}, 1\right\rangle$ of type $(2,1, \ldots, 1,0)$ such that the reduct $\langle A, \rightarrow, 1\rangle$ is a $I$-algebra and $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}$ are unary operations satisfying the following axioms:
(M1) $\left(\sigma_{1} x \rightarrow y\right) \rightarrow x=x$,
$(\mathrm{M} 2) \quad \sigma_{i}(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{i} x \rightarrow \sigma_{j} y\right)=1,1 \leq i \leq j \leq n-1$,
(M3) $\left(\sigma_{i} x \rightarrow \sigma_{i} y\right) \rightarrow\left(\left(\sigma_{i+1} x \rightarrow \sigma_{i+1} y\right) \rightarrow \ldots\left(\left(\sigma_{n-1} x \rightarrow \sigma_{n-1} y\right) \rightarrow \sigma_{i}(x \rightarrow\right.\right.$ $y)) \ldots$. $=1$,
$(\mathrm{M} 4) \sigma_{i}\left(x \rightarrow \sigma_{j} y\right)=x \rightarrow \sigma_{j} y, 1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$,
(M5) $\sigma_{n-1} x=\left(x \rightarrow \sigma_{i} x\right) \rightarrow \sigma_{j} x, 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n-1$.
From now on, we will denote by $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{I}_{n}$ the variety of $M I_{n}$-algebras.
Remark 1.1 In [7] $M I_{n}$-algebras were called $(n+1)$-valued modal Hilbert algebras, following the terminology of Iturrioz we have called them Hilbert algebras of order $n$ with Moisil operators.

Now, we will summarize some useful properties of $M I_{n}$-algebras (see [7]).
(M6) $\sigma_{1} x \leq x$,
$(\mathrm{M} 7) \sigma_{i}\left(\sigma_{j} x\right)=\sigma_{j} x$,
$(\mathrm{M} 8) \sigma_{j} 1=1$,
(M9) $\sigma_{1} x \leq \sigma_{2} x \leq \ldots \leq \sigma_{n-1} x$,
(M10) $x \leq \sigma_{n-1} x$,
(M11) $x \leq y$ implies $\sigma_{i} x \leq \sigma_{i} y$,
$(\mathrm{M} 12) \sigma_{i}\left(\sigma_{j} x \rightarrow y\right)=\sigma_{j} x \rightarrow \sigma_{i} y, i \leq j$,
(M13) $x \rightarrow \sigma_{j}(x \rightarrow y)=\sigma_{j}(x \rightarrow y)$,
(M14) $x \rightarrow \sigma_{j} y \leq \sigma_{j}(x \rightarrow y)$,
$(\mathrm{M} 15) \sigma_{j}(x \rightarrow y) \leq \sigma_{j} x \rightarrow \sigma_{j} y$,
$(\mathrm{M} 16)\left(\sigma_{1} x \rightarrow \sigma_{1} y\right) \rightarrow\left(\left(\sigma_{2} x \rightarrow \sigma_{2} y\right) \rightarrow \ldots\left(\left(\sigma_{n-1} x \rightarrow \sigma_{n-1} y\right) \rightarrow(x \rightarrow\right.\right.$ y)) $\ldots$ ) $=1$,
(M17) $\sigma_{i} x=\sigma_{i} y$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, n-1$, implies $x=y$,
$(\mathrm{M} 18)\left(\sigma_{j} x \rightarrow y\right) \rightarrow \sigma_{j} x=\sigma_{j} x$,
$(\mathrm{M} 19) \sigma_{n-1} x=\left(x \rightarrow \sigma_{1} x\right) \rightarrow x$,
$(\mathrm{M} 20) \sigma_{1}\left(\sigma_{1} y \rightarrow x\right) \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{1}\left(\sigma_{1} x \rightarrow z\right) \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{1} y \rightarrow z\right)\right)=1$.
(M21) The algebra $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{n}}^{M I}=\left\langle\mathcal{C}_{n}, \rightarrow, \sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}, 1\right\rangle$, where $\mathcal{C}_{n}=\left\{0, \frac{1}{n-1}, \ldots, \frac{n-2}{n-1}, 1\right\}$, $x \rightarrow y=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}1 & \text { if } x \leq y, \\ y & x>y,\end{array} \quad\right.$ and $\quad \sigma_{j}\left(\frac{k}{n-1}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}0 & \text { if } k+j \leq n-1, \\ 1 & \text { if } k+j>n-1\end{array} \quad 0 \leq k \leq n-1\right.$, is a $M I_{n}$-algebra, called the standard $M I_{n}$-algebra.

In the $M I_{k}$-algebra $C_{\mathbf{k}}^{M I}$ with $2 \leq k<n-1$ we can define $\sigma_{k}, \sigma_{k+1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}$ being $\sigma_{k}=\sigma_{k+1}=\ldots=\sigma_{n-1}$. Hence, the chain $C_{\mathbf{k}}^{M I} \in \mathcal{M} \mathcal{I}_{n}$.
(M22) Let $A \in \mathcal{M I}_{n} . D \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ is a modal deductive system if it satisfies the following condition: $x \in D$ implies $\sigma_{1} x \in D$.

The set of all modal deductive system of a $M I_{n}$-algebra $A$ it is denoted by $\mathcal{D}_{m}(A)$.

Let $A \in \mathcal{M I}_{n}, X \subseteq A$ and $a \in A \backslash X . D_{m}(X)$ denotes the modal deductive system of $A$ generated by $X$ and $D_{m}(X, a)$ denotes the modal deductive system of $A$ generated by $X \cup\{a\}$. Moreover, if $B$ is a subalgebra of $A$ we will denote $B \triangleleft A$.

Next, for the purpose of describing properties of modal deductive system we use the following notation introduced by Bussneag in ([2]) and frequently used by different authors:

$$
\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1} ; x_{n}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
x_{n} & \text { if } n=1 \\
x_{1} \rightarrow\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n-1} ; x_{n}\right) & \text { if } n>1
\end{array} .\right.
$$

(M23) Let $A \in \mathcal{M I}_{n}, X \subseteq A$ and $a \in A$. Then, the following conditions are verified:
(i) $D_{m}(X)=\left\{x \in A: \exists h_{1}, \ldots, h_{k} \in X:\left(\sigma_{1} h_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{1} h_{k} ; x\right)=1\right\}$,
(ii) $D_{m}(a)=\left\{x \in A:\left(\sigma_{1} a ; x\right)=1\right\}=\left[\sigma_{1} a\right)$.
(iii) $D_{m}(X \cup\{a\})=\left\{x \in A:\left(\sigma_{1} a ; x\right) \in D_{m}(X)\right\}$.

On the other hand, it is easy to see that:
(M24) If $A \in \mathcal{M I}_{n}, B \triangleleft A$ and $D_{B} \in \mathcal{D}_{m}(B)$. Then, there exists $D \in \mathcal{D}_{m}(A)$ such that $D_{B}=D \cap B$.
(M25) Let $A \in \mathcal{M} \mathcal{I}_{n}$ and $M \in \mathcal{D}_{m}(A)$. Then, the following conditions are equivalents:
(i) $M$ is a maximal,
(ii) $A / M$ is a simple $M I_{n}$-algebra,
(iii) $A / M \simeq S \triangleleft \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{n}}^{\text {MI }}$.

## 2 Bounded $\mathrm{MI}_{\mathrm{n}}$-algebras

In this section we are going to introduce the variety of bounded Hilbert algebras with Moisil possibility operators.

Definition 2.1 $A$ bounded $M I_{n}$-algebra (or $M I_{n}^{0}$-algebra) is an algebra $\langle A, \rightarrow$, $\left.\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}, 0,1\right\rangle$ of type $(2,1, \ldots, 1,0,0)$ where $\left\langle A, \rightarrow, \sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}, 1\right\rangle$ is a $M I_{n}$-algebra and it satisfies the following additional condition:
(A1) $0 \rightarrow x=1$.
We will denote by $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{I}_{n}^{0}$ the variety of $M I_{n}^{0}$-algebras.
Example 2.2 The algebra $C_{\mathbf{n}}^{M I^{0}}=\left\langle\mathcal{C}_{n}, \rightarrow, \sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}, 0,1\right\rangle$ considered in (M21) is a $M I_{n}^{0}$-algebra.

We will list some basic properties valid in the $M I_{n}^{0}$-algebras, proving just some of them.

Proposition 2.3 Let $A \in \mathcal{M I}_{n}^{0}$. Then, the following properties are satisfied:
(A2) $\sigma_{i} 0=0$,
(A3) $\sigma_{i} x^{*}=x^{*}$,
(A4) $\sigma_{j}\left(\sigma_{i} x\right)^{*}=\left(\sigma_{i} x\right)^{*}$,
$(\mathrm{A} 5)\left(\sigma_{i} x\right)^{*} \rightarrow \sigma_{i} x=\sigma_{i} x$,
(A6) $\sigma_{i}\left(\left(\sigma_{i} x\right)^{*} \rightarrow \sigma_{i} y\right)=\left(\sigma_{i} x\right)^{*} \rightarrow \sigma_{i} y$,
$(\mathrm{A} 7)\left(\sigma_{i} x\right)^{* *}=\sigma_{i} x$,
(A8) $\left(\sigma_{i} x\right)^{*} \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{i} y\right)^{*}=\sigma_{i} y \rightarrow \sigma_{i} x$,
(A9) $x^{*}=\left(\sigma_{n-1} x\right)^{*}$,
(A10) $\sigma_{i} x^{*}=\left(\sigma_{n-1} x\right)^{*}$,
(A11) $\sigma_{i}\left(\sigma_{1} x\right)^{*}=\left(\sigma_{1} x\right)^{*}$,
(A12) $\left(\sigma_{1} x \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{1} y\right)^{*}\right)^{*} \rightarrow x=1$.

## Proof.

(A2): From A1 and M6, we have that $\sigma_{1} 0=0$. Then, from M19, we infer that $\sigma_{n-1} 0=\left(0 \rightarrow \sigma_{1} 0\right) \rightarrow 0=0$. Hence, from M9, we conclude that $\sigma_{i} 0=0$.
(A6): From A4, we have that $\sigma_{i}\left(\left(\sigma_{i} x\right)^{*} \rightarrow \sigma_{i} y\right)=\sigma_{i}\left(\sigma_{i}\left(\left(\sigma_{i} x\right)^{*}\right) \rightarrow \sigma_{i} y\right)$. Hence, taking into account M12 and M7, we obtain that $\sigma_{i}\left(\left(\sigma_{i} x\right)^{*} \rightarrow \sigma_{i} y\right)=$ $\left(\sigma_{i} x\right)^{*} \rightarrow \sigma_{i} \sigma_{i} y=\left(\sigma_{i} x\right)^{*} \rightarrow \sigma_{i} y$.
(A7): From A1, I10 and M18, we have that $\left(\sigma_{i} x \rightarrow 0\right) \rightarrow 0 \leq\left(\sigma_{i} x \rightarrow 0\right) \rightarrow$ $\sigma_{i} x=\sigma_{i} x$. So, $\left(\sigma_{i} x\right)^{* *} \leq \sigma_{i} x$. On the other hand, from I7 and I2 we obtain that $\sigma_{i} x \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{i} x\right)^{* *}=\sigma_{i} x \rightarrow\left(\left(\sigma_{i} x \rightarrow 0\right) \rightarrow 0\right)=\left(\sigma_{i} x \rightarrow 0\right)$ $\rightarrow\left(\sigma_{i} x \rightarrow 0\right)=1$ from which we get that $\sigma_{i} x \leq\left(\sigma_{i} x\right)^{* *}$.
(A9): From M10 and I8, we have that $\left(\sigma_{n} x\right)^{*} \leq x^{*}$. On the other hand, since $\sigma_{1} x \leq x$ and so, from I8, we obtain that $x^{*} \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{1} x\right)^{*}=(x \rightarrow 0) \rightarrow$ $\left(\sigma_{1} x \rightarrow 0\right)=1$. Besides, from I7 and M5 we have that $x^{*} \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{n-1} x\right)^{*}=$ $(x \rightarrow 0) \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{n-1} x \rightarrow 0\right)=\sigma_{n-1} x \rightarrow((x \rightarrow 0) \rightarrow 0)=\left(\left(x \rightarrow \sigma_{1} x\right) \rightarrow\right.$ $\left.\sigma_{1} x\right) \rightarrow((x \rightarrow 0) \rightarrow 0)$. Hence, from I7, I3, A1 and I5, we obtain that $x^{*} \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{n-1} x\right)^{*}=\left(\left((x \rightarrow 0) \rightarrow\left(x \rightarrow \sigma_{1} x\right)\right) \rightarrow\left((x \rightarrow 0) \rightarrow \sigma_{1} x\right)\right) \rightarrow$ $((x \rightarrow 0) \rightarrow 0)=\left(\left(x \rightarrow\left(0 \rightarrow \sigma_{1} x\right)\right) \rightarrow\left((x \rightarrow 0) \rightarrow \sigma_{1} x\right)\right) \rightarrow((x \rightarrow 0) \rightarrow$ $0)=\left((x \rightarrow 0) \rightarrow \sigma_{1} x\right) \rightarrow((x \rightarrow 0) \rightarrow 0)$. For this result I3, M6 and I8 we obtain $x^{*} \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{n-1} x\right)^{*}=(x \rightarrow 0) \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{1} x \rightarrow 0\right)=1$. Therefore, $x^{*} \leq\left(\sigma_{n-1} x\right)^{*}$.

Definition 2.4 An element $x$ of a $M I_{n}^{0}$-algebra $A$ is invariant if $\sigma_{i} x=x$.
The set of all invariant elements of a $M I_{n}^{0}$-algebra $A$ is denoted by $K(A)$.
Definition 2.5 An element $x$ of a $M I_{n}^{0}$-algebra $A$ is regular if $x^{* *}=x$.
In what follows, the set of all regular elements of $A$ we will denote by $A^{* *}$.
Next, we will show the relationship between the above two definitions.
Proposition 2.6 Let $A \in \mathcal{M I}_{n}^{0}$. Then, $K(A)$ is a $M I_{n}^{0}$-subalgebra of $A$.
Proof. Let $x, y \in K(A)$. Then, $x=\sigma_{i} x$ and $y=\sigma_{j} y, 1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$. Hence, from M12 we have that $x \rightarrow y=\sigma_{i} x \rightarrow \sigma_{j} y=\sigma_{j}\left(\sigma_{i} x \rightarrow y\right)$ and from M7 we deduce that $\sigma_{k}(x \rightarrow y)=x \rightarrow y$. Therefore, $x \rightarrow y \in K(A)$. On the other hand, from M7 $\sigma_{k} x=\sigma_{k}\left(\sigma_{i} x\right)=x$. So, $\sigma_{k} x \in K(A)$. Besides, from A2 and M8, we have that $0,1 \in K(A)$.

Proposition 2.7 Let $A \in \mathcal{M I}_{n}^{0}$. Then, $A^{* *}=K(A)$.
Proof. Let $x \in A^{* *}$. Then, from A2 and M14, we have that $x=x^{* *}=$ $(x \rightarrow 0) \rightarrow \sigma_{1} 0 \leq \sigma_{1} x^{* *}=\sigma_{1} x$. The other inequality results immediately from M6. Conversely, if $x \in K(A)$ then $x=\sigma_{i} x$. Then, from A7 we obtain that $x^{* *}=\left(\sigma_{i} x\right)^{* *}=\sigma_{i} x=x$. Therefore, $x \in A^{* *}$.

Proposition 2.8 Let $A \in \mathcal{M I}_{n}^{0}$. Then, $K(A)$ is a Boolean lattice.
Proof. From the Proposition 2.6, we have that $\left\langle K(A), \rightarrow, \sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}, 0,1\right\rangle$ is an $M I_{n}^{0}$-algebra. Hence, from A7 and I15 we obtain that $K(A)$ is a Boolean lattice.

Remark 2.9 From Buşneag's proof of I15, it was proved that for every $k_{1}$, $k_{2} \in K(A)$, the following properties hold:
(i) $k_{1} \vee k_{2}=k_{1}^{*} \rightarrow k_{2}$,
(ii) $k_{1}^{*}$ is the boolean complement of $k_{1}$.

Now, we will give another characterization of $K(A)$, using the tarskian elements of $A$.

Lemma 2.10 $T(A)=K(A)$.
Proof. Let $t \in T(A)$. Then, from M19, we have that $\sigma_{n-1} t=\left(t \rightarrow \sigma_{1} t\right) \rightarrow$ $t=t$. So, $t \in K(A)$. Conversely, let $k \in K(A)$ and $x \in A$. Then, we have that $(k \rightarrow x) \rightarrow k=\left(\sigma_{i} k \rightarrow x\right) \rightarrow \sigma_{i} k$ and from M18 we infer that $(k \rightarrow x) \rightarrow k=\sigma_{i} k=k$. Hence, $k \in T(A)$ and so, $K(A)=T(A)$.

## 3 Congruences

In this section we will determine the $M I_{n}$-congruences and we will establish a lattice isomorphism between $\operatorname{Con}_{M I_{n}}(A)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{m}(A)$. Besides, we will obtain a characterization of $M I_{n}$-congruences. Furthermore, from the above results on the $M I_{n}$-congruences, the principal ones are described.

The following two theorems were stated in [7].
Theorem 3.1 Let $A \in \mathcal{M} \mathcal{I}_{n}$ and $D \in \mathcal{D}_{m}(A)$. Then, $\operatorname{Con}_{M I_{n}}(A)=\{R(D)$ : $\left.D \in \mathcal{D}_{m}(A)\right\}$, where $R(D)=\left\{(x, y) \in A^{2}: x \rightarrow y \in D, y \rightarrow x \in D\right\}$.

Proof. Since $A$ is a Hilbert algebra and $D$ is a deductive system of $A$, by I14 we know that $R(D)$ is an $I$-congruence on $A$. Moreover, if $(x, y) \in R(D)$ since $D$ is a modal deductive system, we have that $\sigma_{1}(x \rightarrow y), \sigma_{1}(y \rightarrow x) \in D$. Hence, from M9 we have that, $\sigma_{i}(x \rightarrow y), \sigma_{i}(y \rightarrow x) \in D, 1 \leq i \leq n-1$, and by M2 we infer that $\sigma_{i} x \rightarrow \sigma_{i} y, \sigma_{i} y \rightarrow \sigma_{i} x \in D, 1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Therefore, $\left(\sigma_{i} x, \sigma_{i} y\right) \in D, 1 \leq i \leq n-1$ from which we conclude that $R(D) \in \operatorname{Con}_{M I_{n}}(A)$. Conversely, let $\theta \in \operatorname{Con}_{M I_{n}}(A)$. Then, $\theta \in \operatorname{Con}_{I}(A)$. From I14, we have that $[1]_{\theta}$ is a deductive system of $A$ and $R\left([1]_{\theta}\right)=\theta$. Besides, from hypothesis and M8 we have that: if $(x, 1) \in \theta$, then $\left(\sigma_{1} x, 1\right) \in \theta$, that is, $[1]_{\theta} \in \mathcal{D}_{m}(A)$ which completes the proof.

Theorem 3.2 Let $A \in \mathcal{M I}_{n}$. Then, the lattices $\operatorname{Con}_{M I_{n}}(A)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{m}(A)$ are isomorphic.

Proof. It is a direct consequence of I14 and Theorem 3.1 considering the applications $\theta \mapsto[1]_{\theta}$ and $D \mapsto R(D)$ which are inverse to one another.

Next, we will show a characterization of simples $M I_{n}^{0}$-algebras.
Corollary 3.3 Let $A \in \mathcal{M I}_{n}^{0}$. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $A$ is a simple $M I_{n}^{0}$-algebra,
(ii) $\sigma_{1}(A)=\{0,1\}$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Suppose that $A$ is a simple $M I_{n}^{0}$-algebra and let $x \in A$. From (M23), we have that $\left[\sigma_{1} x\right)$ is a modal deductive system of $A$. Hence, $\left[\sigma_{1} x\right)=\{1\}$ or $\left[\sigma_{1} x\right)=A$ from which it follows that $\sigma_{1} x=1$ or $\sigma_{1} x=0$.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Suppose that $\sigma_{1}(A)=\{0,1\}$. Let $D \in \mathcal{D}_{m}(A)$ and $x \in D$. Then, $\sigma_{1} x \in D$. If $\sigma_{1} x=0$, we have that $D=A$ and if $\sigma_{1} x=1$, from M6, we have that $x=1$. Therefore, $D=\{1\}$.

Let $A$ be an $M I_{n}$-algebra and $a, b \in A$. By $\theta(a, b)$ we denote the principal congruence of $A$ generated by $(a, b)$, i.e., the smallest congruence of $A$ that contains $(a, b)$. In Theorem 3.4, we provide a description of the principal congruences of $A$.

Theorem 3.4 Let $A \in \mathcal{M} \mathcal{I}_{n}$. Then, for every $a, b \in A$ it is verified that: $\theta(a, b)=\left\{(x, y) \in A^{2}: \sigma_{1}(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{1}(b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow x\right)=\sigma_{1}(b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{1}(a \rightarrow\right.\right.$ b) $\rightarrow y)\}$.

Proof. Let $S=\left\{(x, y) \in A^{2}: \sigma_{1}(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow\left(\left(\sigma_{1}(b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow x\right)=\sigma_{1}(b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow\right.\right.$ $\left(\left(\sigma_{1}(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow y\right)\right\}$. Then, $(a, b) \in S$. Indeed, from M6, I5 and I3, we have that $1=\sigma_{1}(b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow\left(\left(\sigma_{1}(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow(a \rightarrow b)\right)=\left(\sigma_{1}(b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow\left(\left(\sigma_{1}(a \rightarrow\right.\right.\right.\right.$ $b) \rightarrow a)) \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{1}(b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow\left(\left(\sigma_{1}(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b\right)\right)\right.$. From this statement and I6 we have that $\sigma_{1}(b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{1}(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow a\right) \leq \sigma_{1}(b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{1}(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b\right)$. In a similar way we obtain that $\sigma_{1}(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{1}(b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow b\right) \leq \sigma_{1}(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow$ $\left(\sigma_{1}(b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow a\right)$. Moreover, $S$ is an equivalence relation on $A$ such that:
(i): $S$ is compatible with $\rightarrow$ : Let $(x, y) \in S$ and $t \in A$. Then, we have that $\sigma_{1}(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{1}(b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow x\right)=\sigma_{1}(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{1}(b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow y\right)$. From this last statement, we obtain that $t \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{1}(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{1}(b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow\right.\right.$ $x)=t \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{1}(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{1}(b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow y\right)\right.$ and from I7 we obtain that $\sigma_{1}(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{1}(b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow(t \rightarrow x)\right)=\sigma_{1}(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{1}(b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow(t \rightarrow y)\right)$. So, $(t \rightarrow x, t \rightarrow y) \in S$. Moreover, from I3, we have that $\sigma_{1}(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow$
$\left(\sigma_{1}(b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow(x \rightarrow t)\right)=\left(\sigma_{1}(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{1}(b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow x\right)\right) \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{1}(a \rightarrow\right.$ $\left.b) \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{1}(b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow t\right)\right)$. From this last statement, I7 and I3 we deduce that $\sigma_{1}(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{1}(b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow(x \rightarrow t)\right)=\left(\sigma_{1}(b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{1}(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow y\right)\right) \rightarrow$ $\left(\sigma_{1}(b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{1}(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow t\right)\right)=\sigma_{1}(b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{1}(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow(y \rightarrow t)\right)$.
Therefore, we conclude that $(x \rightarrow t, y \rightarrow t) \in S$.
(ii): $S$ is compatible with $\sigma_{i}:$ let $(x, y) \in S$. Then, $\sigma_{1}(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{1}(b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow\right.$ $x)=\sigma_{1}(b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow\left(\left(\sigma_{1}(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow y\right)\right.$ from which $\sigma_{i}\left(\sigma_{1}(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{1}(b \rightarrow\right.\right.$ $a) \rightarrow x))=\sigma_{i}\left(\sigma_{1}(b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow\left(\left(\sigma_{1}(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow y\right)\right)\right.$ and we conclude the proof by M12.

Hence, $S \in \operatorname{Con}_{M I_{n}}(A)$. Finally, if $R \in \operatorname{Con}_{M I_{n}}(A)$ and $(a, b) \in R$, then $S \subseteq R$. Indeed, let $(1)(x, y) \in S$. Since $(a, b) \in R$ we have that $(2)\left(\sigma_{1}(a \rightarrow\right.$ $b) \rightarrow x, x) \in R$ and $\left(\sigma_{1}(b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow y, y\right) \in R$ from which we obtain that $\left(\sigma_{1}(b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{1}(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow x\right), \sigma_{1}(b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow x\right) \in R$ and $\left(\sigma_{1}(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow\right.$ $\left.\left(\sigma_{1}(b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow y\right), \sigma_{1}(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow y\right) \in R$. From (1) and I7, we conclude that $\left(\sigma_{1}(b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow x, \sigma_{1}(b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow y\right) \in R$. Hence, from (2), $(x, y) \in R$.

Corollary 3.5 $\mathcal{M I}_{n}$ has equationally definable principal congruences.
We prove that the variety $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{I}_{n}$ satisfies the congruence extension property.
Lemma 3.6 Let $A \in \mathcal{M} \mathcal{I}_{n}, B \triangleleft A$ and $\theta \in \operatorname{Con}_{M I_{n}}(B)$. Then, there exists $\varphi \in \operatorname{Con}_{M_{I_{n}}}(A)$ such that $\theta=\varphi \cap B^{2}$.

Proof. Let $B \triangleleft A$ and $\theta \in \operatorname{Con}_{M I}(B)$. Then, by Theorem 3.2, there exists $D_{1} \in \mathcal{D}_{m}(B)$ such that $R\left(D_{1}\right)=\theta$. Hence, by (M24), there exists $D \in \mathcal{D}_{m}(A)$ such that $D \cap B=D_{1}$. Moreover, since $D \in \mathcal{D}_{m}(A)$ there exists $R(D) \in$ $\operatorname{Con}_{M I_{n}}(A)$. Let $\varphi=R(D)$ and suppose that $(x, y) \in \varphi \cap B^{2}$. Then, we have $x \rightarrow y, y \rightarrow x \in D \cap B$. So, $(x, y) \in R\left(D_{1}\right)$. From this last statement we have $\varphi \cap B^{2} \subseteq \theta$. In a similar way we obtain that $\theta \subseteq \varphi \cap B^{2}$.

From Lemma 3.6 and a result of A. Day ([9]) the following property holds:
Lemma 3.7 Let $A \in \mathcal{M I}_{n}$. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $\mathcal{M I}_{n}$ satisfies the congruence extension property,
(ii) $\mathcal{M I}_{n}$ satisfies the principal congruences extension property,
(iii) for all $A, B \in \mathcal{M} \mathcal{I}_{n}$ such that $B \triangleleft A$ and for all $a, b \in B$ it follows that $\theta_{B}(a, b)=\theta_{A}(a, b) \cap B^{2}$.

Lemma 3.8 $\mathcal{M I}_{n}$ has regular congruences.

Proof. Let $\theta, \varphi \in \operatorname{Con}_{M I_{n}}(A)$ and $a \in A$ such that $[a]_{\theta}=[a]_{\varphi}$. Let us consider the quotients algebras $A / \theta$ and $A / \varphi$. Then, we have that: $[1]_{\theta}=[a]_{\theta} \rightarrow[a]_{\theta}=$ $[a]_{\varphi} \rightarrow[a]_{\varphi}=[1]_{\varphi}$. Moreover, by Theorem 3.2, we have that $R\left([1]_{\theta}\right)=\theta$ and $R\left([1]_{\varphi}\right)=\varphi$. So, $\theta=\varphi$.

Lemma $3.9 \mathcal{M I}_{n}$ has distributive congruences.
Proof. It is a direct consequence of [1] and taking into account that this variety has the EDPC property.

Let $A \in \mathcal{M I}_{n}^{0}$. We denote by $\mathcal{D}_{m}^{P}(A)$ the lattice of all principal deductive systems of a $M I_{n}^{0}$-algebra $A$ and by $\operatorname{Con}_{M I^{0}}^{P}(A)$ the lattice of all principal congruences of a $M I_{n}^{0}$-algebra $A$.

Lemma 3.10 Let $A \in \mathcal{M} \mathcal{I}_{n}^{0}$ and let $a, b \in A$. Then, $\left[w_{a, b}\right) \in \mathcal{D}_{m}^{P}(A)$, where $w_{a, b}:=\left(\sigma_{1}(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{1}(b \rightarrow a)\right)^{*}\right)^{*}$.

Proof. From A4, we have that $\sigma_{j} w_{a, b}=w_{a, b}$, from which we conclude that $w_{a, b} \in K(A)$. From this last statement and from (ii) of (M23) we have that $\left[w_{a, b}\right) \in \mathcal{D}_{m}^{P}(A)$.

Proposition 3.11 Let $A \in \mathcal{M I}_{n}^{0}$. Then, the lattices $K(A)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{m}^{P}(A)$ are anti-isomorphic.

Proof. It follows from considering the application $\alpha: K(A) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{m}^{P}(A)$ define by $\alpha(k)=[k)$ for all $k \in K(A)$.

In the following theorem we will obtain a good characterization of principal congruences in $M I_{n}^{0}$-algebras.

Theorem 3.12 Let $A \in \mathcal{M} \mathcal{I}_{n}^{0}$ and let $a, b \in A$. Then, $\theta(a, b)=\theta\left(w_{a, b}, 1\right)$.
Proof. It is sufficient to show that:
(i) $\left(w_{a, b}, 1\right) \in \theta(a, b)$,
(ii) $(a, b) \in \theta\left(w_{a, b}, 1\right)$.
(i): From $(a, b) \in \theta(a, b)$ we infer that $\left(\sigma_{1}(b \rightarrow a), 1\right) \in \theta(a, b)$. Hence, we have that $\left(\sigma_{1}(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{1}(b \rightarrow a)\right)^{*}, \sigma_{1}(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow 0\right) \in \theta(a, b)$. From this last statement we have that $\left(\left(\sigma_{1}(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{1}(b \rightarrow a)\right)^{*}\right)^{*},\left(\sigma_{1}(a \rightarrow b)\right)^{* *}\right) \in \theta(a, b)$ and by A7 we conclude that $\left(\left(\sigma_{1}(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{1}(b \rightarrow a)\right)^{*}\right)^{*}, \sigma_{1}(a \rightarrow b)\right) \in$ $\theta(a, b)$. Besides, since $\left(\sigma_{1}(a \rightarrow b), 1\right) \in \theta(a, b)$ we have that $\left(w_{a, b}, 1\right) \in \theta(a, b)$.
(ii): (1) $(a \rightarrow b, b \rightarrow a) \in \theta\left(w_{a, b}, 1\right)$. Indeed, by Theorem 3.4, we must prove that $\sigma_{1}\left(w_{a, b} \rightarrow 1\right) \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{1}\left(1 \rightarrow w_{a, b}\right) \rightarrow(a \rightarrow b)\right)=\sigma_{1}\left(1 \rightarrow w_{a, b}\right) \rightarrow$
$\left(\sigma_{1}\left(w_{a, b} \rightarrow 1\right) \rightarrow(b \rightarrow a)\right)$, which is equivalent to prove that $w_{a, b} \rightarrow(a \rightarrow$ $b)=w_{a, b} \rightarrow(b \rightarrow a)$ and from A12 is equivalent to $1=w_{a, b} \rightarrow(b \rightarrow a)$, which follows immediately from I7 and A12. Hence, from (1), I3, I2 and I1 we have that $((a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow((b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow a),(b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow a) \in \theta\left(w_{a, b}, 1\right)$ and $((b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow((a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b),(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b) \in \theta\left(w_{a, b}, 1\right)$. From this last statement and I4 we deduce $(2)((a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b,(b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow a) \in \theta\left(w_{a, b}, 1\right)$. On the other hand, by (1), we have that $(a \rightarrow b, 1) \in \theta\left(w_{a, b}, 1\right)$ and $(b \rightarrow a, 1) \in \theta\left(w_{a, b}, 1\right)$. So, we obtain $((a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b, b) \in \theta\left(w_{a, b}, 1\right)$ and $((b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow a, b) \in \theta\left(w_{a, b}, 1\right)$. From these two above statements and (2) we conclude that $(a, b) \in \theta\left(w_{a, b}, 1\right)$.

Proposition 3.13 Let $A \in \mathcal{M I}_{n}^{0}$. Then, the lattices $\operatorname{Con}_{M I_{n}^{0}}^{P}(A)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{m}^{P}(A)$ are isomorphic.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.12 and by considering the application $\Psi$ : $C o n_{M I_{n}^{0}}^{P}(A) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{m}^{P}(A)$ defined by the prescription $\Psi(\theta(a, b))=\left[w_{a, b}\right)$ for all $\theta(a, b) \in \operatorname{Con}_{M I_{n}^{0}}^{P}(A)$.

Corollary 3.14 Let $A \in \mathcal{M I}_{n}^{0}$. Then, the lattices $K(A)$ and $\operatorname{Con}_{M I_{n}^{0}}^{P}(A)$ are anti-isomorphic.

Proof. It is a direct consequence of Proposition 3.11 and 3.13 .
Corollary 3.15 Let $A$ be a finite $M I_{n}^{0}$-algebra. Then, $\left|\operatorname{Con}_{M I^{0}}^{P}(A)\right|=2^{m}$ where $m$ is the number of atoms of $K(A)$.

Proof. It is a direct consequence of Proposition 3.14 and Proposition 2.8.
Next, we prove that the variety $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{I}_{n}^{0}$ is semisimple.
Proposition 3.16 Let $A \in \mathcal{M I}_{n}^{0}$. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $A$ is a subdirectly irreducible $M I_{n}^{0}$-algebra,
(ii) $K(A)$ has a unique dual atom,
(iii) $A$ is a simple $M I_{n}^{0}$-algebra.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Let $\Theta_{0}$ be a unique nontrivial minimal congruence. Then, there exists $(a, b) \in \Theta_{0}$ where $a \neq b$. Hence, $\theta(a, b) \subseteq \Theta_{0}$ and $\theta(a, b) \neq \Delta$ from which we get that $\Theta_{0}=\theta(a, b)$. So, by Theorem 3.12 and Lemma 3.13, there exists a unique minimal principal modal deductive system $D_{0}=\left[w_{a, b}\right)$ where
$w_{a, b} \in K(A)$. Besides, taking into account that the lattices $K(A)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{m}^{P}(A)$ are anti-isomorphic we obtain that $w_{a, b}$ is a dual atom of $K(A)$.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): Since $A$ has a unique dual atom $k$, from Remark 2.9 we have that $k^{*}$ is the unique atom of $K(A)$. Therefore, $K(A)=\{0,1\}$ and from Theorem 3.3 we conclude that $A$ is simple.
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): It is easy to check.

Corollary 3.17 $\mathcal{M I}_{n}^{0}$ is semisimple.
Proof. It is a direct consequence of Proposition 3.16.
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