South American Journal of Logic Vol. 4, n. 2, pp. 373–383, 2018 ISSN: 2446-6719

§∀JL

Remarks on Expansions of the Real Field: Tameness, Hardy Fields and Smooth Rings

Rodrigo Figueiredo and Hugo L. Mariano

Abstract

In the talk [2] presented at the Logic and Foundations section of ICM-2018, Rio de Janeiro, the authors analyze, under a model-theoretic perspective, three ways to enrich the real continuum by infinitesimal and infinite quantities. In the present work, we establish a first model-theoretic connection of another (but related to the previous one) triple of structures: o-minimal structures, Hardy fields and smooth rings.

Keywords: o-minimality, Hardy fields, \mathcal{C}^{∞} -rings, H-fields

Introduction

According Matthias Aschenbrenner, Lou van den Dries and Joris van der Hoeven ([2]):

"Germs of real-valued functions, surreal numbers, and transseries are three ways to enrich the real continuum by infinitesimal and infinite quantities. Each of these comes with naturally interacting notions of ordering and derivative".

They examine this tripod by the model-theoretic analysis of the category of H-fields, which provides a common framework for these structures.

In the present (short) work, we give some model-theoretic connections among the elements of another tripod, although related to the previous one: o-minimal structures, Hardy fields and smooth rings.

Overview of the paper. In the first section we present the preliminary definitions and results on o-minimal structures, Hardy fields and \mathcal{C}^{∞} -rings needed in the sequel. Section 2 presents the connections among the elements of the concerned tripod. A final section is devoted to the sketch of possible future works around this subject. In order to write a (brief though) reader-friendly text, we also include an appendix containing some basic results on extensions of real smooth functions.

Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to the referee for valuable suggestions and comments.

1 Preliminaries

For the reader's convenience we provide below a simplified account on the three subjects pointed out in the title of this paper.

1.1 O-minimality

It is well-known that the theory of algebraically closed fields is *strongly mini-mal*, i.e. the definable unary subsets of an algebraically closed field are finite or cofinite: this is a direct consequence of the elimination of quantifiers.

Analogously, since the theory of real closed fields in the language of the ordered rings admits quantifier elimination, it is an *o-minimal theory*, i.e. the first-order definable unary subsets of a real closed field are finite unions of points and open intervals. The corresponding topology generated over finite cartesian products of definable sets is well-behaved or "tame". For a full treatment of o-minimal structures from a geometric viewpoint, see [3] and [4].

Some variants of the notion of o-minimality have been studied since its systematization in the middle of the 1980s. We recall from [5], for instance, that a sequence $S := (S_n)_{n \ge 1}$, where each S_n is a collection of subsets of \mathbb{R}^n , is called a *weak structure* over the real field if, for all $m, n \ge 1$, the following conditions are satisfied:

(WS1) if $A, B \in \mathcal{S}_m$, then $A \cap B \in \mathcal{S}_m$;

(WS2) S_m contains all zero-sets of polynomials in $\mathbb{R}[X_1, \ldots, X_m]$;

(WS3) if $A \in \mathcal{S}_m$ and $B \in \mathcal{S}_n$, then $A \times B \in \mathcal{S}_{m+n}$;

(WS4) S_m is closed under permutation of the variables.

If, in addition, the elements in S_1 are just finite unions of connected components of \mathbb{R} , then S is said to be an *o-minimal* weak structure over the real field.

1.2 Hardy fields

Let $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ and $g: Y \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous real functions, where the subsets $X, Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ contain an open interval of the form $(c, +\infty)$. These functions are said to have the same germ at the infinity (shortly, germ $at +\infty$), denoted [f] = [g], if they agree on some open interval $(a, +\infty) \subseteq X \cap Y$. Clearly, this determines an equivalence relation on the set of such functions. Then the

formed quotient set Q supports a natural structure of commutative unitary ring of characteristic zero, with pointwise defined addition and multiplication of germs at infinity. Moreover, this structure can be enriched by two binary relations: \leq , the pointwise defined partial ordering; and \preceq , the preorder of dominance described as follows. We write $[f] \preceq [g]$ and say that [f] dominates [g] iff there exists b > 0 such that $|f(x)| \leq b|g(x)|$ eventually, i.e., there exists $d \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $(d, +\infty) \subseteq X \cap Y$ and $|f(x)| \leq b|g(x)|$ holds for each x > d. Two such continuous functions f, g have the same order of growth at the infinity when $[f] \preceq [g]$ and $[g] \preceq [f]$.

A subfield F of the ring Q is a *Hardy field* when it is closed under differentiation, i.e. if $[f] \in F$, then $[f'] \in F$. There is an interesting class of first-order structures, the class of *H*-fields, formed by ordered differential fields satisfying some further conditions, which includes all Hardy fields expanding \mathbb{R} .

There is a strong relationship between Hardy fields and o-minimal structures brought by Chris Miller, for instance, in [8] (see Proposition 2.1 below). A striking result ([7]) afforded by the combination of these two kinds of structures is the dichotomy, also obtained by Chris Miller, for o-minimal expansions of the real field: either they are polynomially bounded or define the exponential function.

We finish this subsection by asserting the following technical result on extensions of smooth functions defined on open subsets of the real line, which is an easy consequence of the smooth version of Tietze extension theorem (see Appendix A for more details).

Proposition 1.1 For any C^{∞} function $g: (b, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ with $b \in \mathbb{R}$ and for each c > b, there exists a C^{∞} function $\tilde{g}: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\tilde{g} = g$ on $(c, +\infty)$.

1.3 C^{∞} -rings

Roughly speaking, a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring is an \mathbb{R} -algebra satisfying additional conditions. The original motivation to introduce and study \mathcal{C}^{∞} -rings was to construct topos-models for Synthetic Differential Geometry (see [10]).

Precisely, a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring (or smooth ring) is a set A together with operations $\Phi_f \colon A^m \to A$ for all $m \geq 0$ and smooth functions $f \colon \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$, where by convention A^0 is the single point $\{\emptyset\}$. These operations must satisfy the conditions: if $f_1, \ldots, f_n \colon \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ and $g \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ are smooth functions, and $h \colon \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is given by

$$h(x_1,\ldots,x_m) := g(f_1(x_1,\ldots,x_m),\ldots,f_n(x_1,\ldots,x_m))$$

then

$$\Phi_h(c_1,\ldots,c_m)=\Phi_g(\Phi_{f_1}(c_1,\ldots,c_m),\ldots,\Phi_{f_n}(c_1,\ldots,c_m)),$$

for all $c_1, \ldots, c_m \in A$; for all $1 \leq j \leq m$, $\Phi_{\pi_j} = \Pi_j$, where $\pi_j \colon \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\Pi_j \colon A^m \to A$ denote the projections onto the *j*th terms of *n*-tuples (see [6] for more details).

In particular, since each real polynomial function is smooth, then every \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring is an \mathbb{R} -algebra. Since the theory of \mathcal{C}^{∞} -rings is equational, the corresponding category admits many interesting constructions, particularly it has all (small) limits and colimits and each set X freely generates a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring, namely $F(X) := \operatorname{colim}_{Y \subseteq_{\operatorname{fin}} X} \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^Y, \mathbb{R}).$

Every (non trivial) \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring A is semi-real, in fact $1 + \sum A^2 \subseteq A^{\times}$. In Theorem 2.10 in [9], it is established that any \mathcal{C}^{∞} -field – i.e. a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring such that its underlying ring is a field – is real closed¹. This suggests the search for connections between the areas of \mathcal{C}^{∞} -rings and o-minimal structures.

2 A first connection among o-mininal structures, Hardy fields and smooth rings

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the set of all smooth functions from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R} , which is a commutative ring with unity when equipped with the usual pointwise operations, and let $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence with $\mathcal{F}_n \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Throughout this section, \mathcal{A} designates the expansion of the ordered real field $(\mathbb{R}, <, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ by the set $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_n$. Unless otherwise stated, by "definable" we mean "definable in \mathcal{A} with parameters from \mathbb{R} ".

Proposition 2.1 (Proposition 3.1, [8]) If \mathcal{R} is an expansion of the real field \mathbb{R} , then the following are equivalent:

- (1) \mathcal{R} is o-minimal;
- (2) the germs at $+\infty$ of definable in \mathcal{R} unary functions form a Hardy field;
- (3) every unary definable in \mathcal{R} function is either eventually zero or eventually nonzero.

Theorem 2.2 If \mathcal{A} is o-minimal, then the commutative ring (with unity) $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ of germs at $+\infty$ of definable \mathcal{C}^{∞} unary functions is a Hardy field, and is isomorphic to a subfield of a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring.

Proof. Recall that the commutative ring with unity \mathcal{H} of the germs at $+\infty$ of definable unary functions is a Hardy field (Proposition 2.1). For the first part of the theorem, it thus suffices to show that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a subfield of \mathcal{H}

¹In fact, in Theorem 2.10' in [9] it is shown that every \mathcal{C}^{∞} -field satisfies an even stronger condition: they are \mathcal{C}^{∞} -real closed.

(for which it is sufficient to guarantee that each nonzero element in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a unit), and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is closed under differentiation. Indeed, any non eventually zero definable \mathcal{C}^{∞} function f is eventually nonzero by virtue of Proposition 2.1. Hence, there exists $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that f does not vanish on $(c, +\infty)$. Let $g: (c, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be the function given by g := 1/f. Clearly, g is definable and \mathcal{C}^{∞} (therefore $[g] \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$), and the equality [f][g] = [1] holds. Now, if $f: (c, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is definable \mathcal{C}^{∞} for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$, then $f': (c, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined as $x \mapsto f'(x) := \lim_{t\to 0} (f(x+t) - f(x))t^{-1}$ is definable and \mathcal{C}^{∞} as well, thus $[f'] \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$.

With regard to the second part, let I denote the set of all \mathcal{C}^{∞} functions from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} which are eventually zero. Equipped with the operations induced by those on $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, I is an ideal of the ring $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. (Indeed, observe that the identically zero function is eventually zero and \mathcal{C}^{∞} ; and, the sum of two eventually zero \mathcal{C}^{∞} functions is an eventually zero \mathcal{C}^{∞} function as well as the multiplication of an eventually \mathcal{C}^{∞} function by a \mathcal{C}^{∞} function.) We may endow the quotient set $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})/I$ with a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring structure as follows. For each $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, let $\Phi_f : (\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})/I)^n \to \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})/I$ be the map defined as

$$\Phi_f(c_1+I,\ldots,c_n+I) := f(c_1,\ldots,c_n) + I, \ c_i \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}).$$

To see that Φ_f does not depend on the representatives c_1, \ldots, c_n , consider $c'_1, \ldots, c'_n \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ so that $c_i + I = c'_i + I$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Hadamard's lemma ensures the existence of \mathcal{C}^{∞} functions $g_i \colon \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}$ $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$ with

$$f(y_1, \dots, y_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i) g_i(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n),$$

for all $x_i, y_i \in \mathbb{R}$. The equality of functions

$$f(c'_1, \dots, c'_n) - f(c_1, \dots, c_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n (c'_i - c_i)g_i(c'_1, \dots, c'_n, c_1, \dots, c_n)$$

thus follows. Because $c'_i - c_i \in I$ and I is an ideal, the right-hand side of the above equality lies in I. So, the values of Φ_f at the tuples $(c_1 + I, \ldots, c_n + I)$ and $(c'_1 + I, \ldots, c'_n + I)$ are the same. This concludes the well definition of Φ_f . It is not hard to see that the sequence $(\Phi_f)_f$ satisfies the defining conditions of a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring, in particular, $(\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})/I, (\Phi_f)_f)$ is a commutative ring with unity.

Now, we take $T: \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}} \to \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})/I$ to be the map given by the rule

$$[f] \mapsto g + I,$$

where $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a \mathcal{C}^{∞} function (not necessarily definable) with $g \in [f]$. Let f_1 and f_2 be definable \mathcal{C}^{∞} unary functions with $[f_1] = [f_2]$. By Proposition

1.1, there exist total \mathcal{C}^{∞} unary functions g_1 and g_2 and real numbers c_1 and c_2 satisfying $g_1|_{(c_1,+\infty)} = f_1$ and $g_2|_{(c_2,+\infty)} = f_2$. Since f_1 and f_2 are eventually the same, $g_1 - g_2 \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ is eventually zero. Therefore, $g_1 - g_2$ lies in I. This shows that T is well defined.

In order to prove that T is an injective ring with unity homomorphism, let $[f_1]$ and $[f_2]$ be germs in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and consider functions g_1, g_2 and g in $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ with $g_1 \in [f_1], g_2 \in [f_2]$ and $g \in [f_1 + f_2]$. In view of the definition of germ at $+\infty$, there exists a real number c such that $g = f_1 + f_2 = g_1 + g_2$ holds on $(c, +\infty)$, thereby $g - (g_1 + g_2)$ belongs to I. Therefore, we have $T([f_1] + [f_2]) = T([f_1 + f_2]) = g + I = g_1 + g_2 + I = T([f_1]) + T([f_2])$. Similarly, $T([f_1][f_2]) = T([f_1])T([f_2])$. Also, from the construction of T, it follows immediately that T([1]) = 1 + I, where 1 denotes, as an abuse of notation, the constant total function $1 \in \mathbb{R}$. Finally, if $T([f_1]) = 0 + I$, where 0 is the zero function, then by the definition of T we have [0] = [f].

Thus, T is an isomorphism from $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ onto $\operatorname{Im}(T)$. From the first part of the theorem, it follows that $\operatorname{Im}(T)$ is a subfield of the \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})/I$.

In what follows we show that the conclusion of Theorem 2.2 still holds under weaker assumptions, namely the order minimality is imposed only on the zero-sets of functions definable in \mathcal{A} .

It is readily seen that the zero-sets of all definable functions from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R} $(n \ge 1)$ form a weak structure, denoted $\mathcal{Z} = (\mathcal{Z}_n)_{n \ge 1}$.

Theorem 2.3 Suppose the weak structure \mathcal{Z} is o-minimal. Then the commutative ring with unity $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ of germs at $+\infty$ of definable \mathcal{C}^{∞} unary functions is a Hardy field, and is isomorphic to a subfield of a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring.

Proof. The proof of this theorem is entirely similar to that of Theorem 2.2, except for the assertion that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$, the set of all germs at $+\infty$ of definable \mathcal{C}^{∞} unary functions, is a Hardy field. Such a conclusion is achieved if we show that every nonzero element in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a unit. For this, let $f: (c, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a \mathcal{C}^{∞} definable unary function, which is not eventually zero. The extension $\tilde{f}: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ of f by zero, that is, f := f on $(c, +\infty)$, and f := 0 on $(-\infty, c]$, is then definable. (In the case $c = -\infty$, we take \tilde{f} to be the function f itself.) Consequently, $\tilde{f}^{-1}(0) \in \mathcal{Z}_1$. Since $\tilde{f}^{-1}(0)$ is a finite union of connected components of the real line and \tilde{f} is not eventually zero, none of these connected components is of the form $(d, +\infty)$, with $d \in \mathbb{R}$, nor \tilde{f} satisfies: for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists y > x at which \tilde{f} vanishes. This amounts to the following situation: f is either eventually positive or eventually negative. Therefore, as in the proof of Theorem 2.2, it has a multiplicative inverse in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$.

3 Concluding remarks and future works

In the paper [2], which has inspired this modest contribution, the authors bring to one's attention a triple of structures that are linked by the notion of H-field which provides a common framework for these structures. They present a model-theoretic analysis of the category of H-fields, e.g. the theory of H-closed fields is model complete, and relate these results with the original tripod: Hardy fields, surreal numbers and transseries.

In the same vein, we intend to analyze the class of C^{∞} -fields under a modeltheoretic perspective since we believe that there are clues that this class should satisfy many interesting logical properties:

- under real algebra perspective: as already mentioned, every \mathcal{C}^{∞} -field is (\mathcal{C}^{∞}) real closed;
- under differential algebra perspective: since every \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring A is isomorphic to quotient of a free \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ring on some set X by an ideal I, $A \cong \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^X)/I$, it encodes many algebraic derivations.

These observations suggest the existence of a relation between the triple in [2] and the one here presented that is even stronger than just having a common vertex: Hardy fields. In particular, is there a general first-order theory that includes naturally C^{∞} -fields and the H-fields?

A Extending smooth real functions

We follow closely Chapter 13 and Appendix C in [11].

Recall from elementary calculus that the function $f \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, defined as

$$f(t) := \begin{cases} e^{-\frac{1}{t}}, & \text{if } t > 0\\ 0, & \text{if } t \le 0 \end{cases},$$

is \mathcal{C}^{∞} . Let $g \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be

$$g(t) := \frac{f(t)}{f(t) + f(1-t)}.$$

So g is also \mathcal{C}^{∞} . For any two positive real numbers a < b, the \mathcal{C}^{∞} function $\rho \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\rho(x) := 1 - g\left(\frac{(x-q)^2 - a^2}{b^2 - a^2}\right),$$

where $q \in \mathbb{R}$, is called a \mathcal{C}^{∞} bump function at q. A short description of ρ is made as follows: ρ vanishes on $\mathbb{R} \setminus (q-b, q+b)$, equals 1 on [q-a, q+a], is strictly increasing on [q-b, q-a], and is strictly decreasing on [q+a, q+b].

Given a map $f: X \to Y$ defined on a topological space X, we denote by supp f the set $\overline{\{x \in X : f(x) \neq 0\}}$ and call it the support of f.

Lemma A.1 (Partitions of unity) Let $\{U_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be an open cover of \mathbb{R} . Then, there is a countable family $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of \mathcal{C}^{∞} functions $\varphi_k \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the conditions

- (1) $\{\operatorname{supp} \varphi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is locally finite, that is, any real number has a neighborhood that intersects only finitely many $\operatorname{supp} \varphi_k$;
- (2) each supp φ_k is compact;
- (3) for each k there exists an $\alpha \in \Lambda$ with supp $\varphi_k \subseteq U_\alpha$;
- (4) $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi_k = 1.$

Such a collection $\{\varphi_k\}_k$ is called a \mathcal{C}^{∞} partition of unity subordinate to the cover $\{U_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$.

Proof. Our aim is to find, for each integer m, finitely many bounded open intervals $W_1^m, \ldots, W_{l(m)}^m$ and finitely many \mathcal{C}^{∞} bump functions $\phi_1^m, \ldots, \phi_{l(m)}^m$ such that

- (a) $W_1^m, \ldots, W_{l(m)}^m$ cover [m, m+1];
- (b) $\phi_j^m > 0$ on W_j^m , and $\phi_j^m = 0$ on $\mathbb{R} \setminus W_j^m$ for $j = 1, \ldots, l(m)$;
- (c) supp $\phi_j^m \subseteq U_{\alpha_{mj}} \cap (m-1, m+2)$ for some $\alpha_{mj} \in \Lambda$;
- (d) supp ϕ_i^m is compact.

Note that the collection $\{\sup p \phi_j^m\}$ of the supports of the functions ϕ_j^m is locally finite, since any [m, m+1] does not intersect $\sup p \phi_j^n$, for all $n \ge m+3$, $n \le m-3$, and $j = 1, \ldots, l(n)$. Therefore, the sum $\phi := \sum_{m,j} \phi_j^m$ is well defined as a smooth function. Moreover, any real number x lies in some [m, m+1], and by (a) in some open interval W_j^m as well. Hence, $\phi_j^m(x) > 0$. This shows that the \mathcal{C}^{∞} function ϕ is everywhere positive. In view of this, for each integer m and each $j = 1, \ldots, l(m)$ the function $\varphi_j^m : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, given by

$$\varphi_j^m := \frac{\phi_j^m}{\phi},$$

is well defined. A routine argument shows that $\{\varphi_j^m\}_{m,j}$ is a family of \mathcal{C}^{∞} functions satisfying the conditions (1)-(4).

It remains to prove the statements (a)-(d). Indeed, for each point $x \in [m, m + 1]$, where m is an arbitrary integer, there is an $\alpha \in \Lambda$ with $x \in U_{\alpha} \cap (m - 1, m + 2)$, by recalling that $\{U_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha}$ covers \mathbb{R} . Let ϕ_x be a \mathcal{C}^{∞} bump function at x which is positive on a bounded open interval W_x centered at x whose closure is included in the open set $U_{\alpha} \cap (m - 1, m + 2)$. The set supp ϕ_x is then included in [m - 1, m + 2], therefore supp ϕ_x is compact. Since $\{W_x : x \in [m, m + 1]\}$ is an open cover of the compact set [m, m + 1], there are finitely many intervals $W_{x_1}, \ldots, W_{x_{l(m)}}$, with associated \mathcal{C}^{∞} bump functions $\phi_{x_1}, \ldots, \phi_{x_{l(m)}}$, which cover [m, m + 1].

A function $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$, defined on an arbitrary set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, is of class \mathcal{C}^{∞} if for every point x in A there exist an open U containing x and a \mathcal{C}^{∞} function $\widehat{f}: U \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\widehat{f} = f$ in $U \cap A$.

Lemma A.2 (Tietze extension theorem) Let F be any closed subset of \mathbb{R} and let $f: F \to \mathbb{R}$ be a \mathcal{C}^{∞} function. Then there exists a \mathcal{C}^{∞} function $\tilde{f}: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\tilde{f}|_F = f$.

Proof. By hypothesis, for each $x \in F$ there exist an open subset U_x of the real line and a \mathcal{C}^{∞} function $\widehat{f}_x : U_x \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\widehat{f}_x = f$ on $U_x \cap F$. Let $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a smooth partition of unity subordinate to the open cover $\{U_x\}_{x \in F} \cup \{\mathbb{R} \setminus F\}$ of \mathbb{R} . Let us reindex the partition of unity in order to have the same index set as the cover, which gives $\{\varphi_x\}_{x \in F} \cup \{\varphi_0\}$, with $\operatorname{supp} \varphi_x \subseteq U_x$ and $\operatorname{supp} \varphi_0 \subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus F$. (This can be done by adding to the original family the zero functions.) Now, we extend each \widehat{f}_x to \mathbb{R} by zero, so the function $\varphi_x \widehat{f}_x$ is smooth. Thus we can define $\widetilde{f} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\widetilde{f}(y) := \sum_{x \in F} \varphi_x(y) \widehat{f}_x(y).$$

Because the collection $\{\sup \varphi_x\}_{x \in F}$ is locally finite, this sum is finite in a neighborhood of every point in \mathbb{R} , and therefore defines a \mathcal{C}^{∞} function. Note that if $y \in F$ then $\varphi_0(y) = 0$, and $f_x(y) = f(y)$ for each x such that $\varphi_x(y) \neq 0$. Then, for any $y \in F$, $\tilde{f}(y) = \sum_{x \in F} \varphi_x(y) \tilde{f}_x(y) = \sum_x \varphi_x(y) f(y) = f(y) (\sum_{x \in F} \varphi_x(y) + \varphi_0(y)) = f(y)$, *i.e.*, \tilde{f} is indeed an extension of f.

Proof of Proposition 1.1. Set $\widehat{g} := g|_{[c,+\infty)}$. Then \widehat{g} is of class \mathcal{C}^{∞} . Tietze extension theorem thus gives a function $\widetilde{g} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ of class \mathcal{C}^{∞} extending \widehat{g} and the proof is finished.

References

- Ricardo Bianconi. Nondefinability Results for Expansions of the Field of Real Numbers by the Exponential Function and the Restricted Sine Function, J. Symbolic Logic 62 (1997) no. 4, 1173–1178.
- [2] Matthias Aschenbrenner, Lou van den Dries and Joris van der Hoeven. On numbers, germs, and transseries. *Proc. ICM*-2018, Rio de Janeiro, Vol.1, 1–24.
- [3] Lou van den Dries. Tame topology and o-minimal structures. (English summary) London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 248. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. x+180 pp. ISBN: 0-521-59838-9 MR1633348 (99j:03001)
- [4] Lou van den Dries, Chris Miller. Geometric categories and o-minimal structures. *Duke Math. J*, 84 (1996), no. 2, 497–540. Mathematical Reviews (AMS): MR1404337
- [5] Antongiulio Fornasiero, Tamara Servi. Theorems of the Complement. Lecture Notes on O-minimal Structures and Real Analytic Geometry, Fields Institute Communications, Springer, New York, 2012.
- [6] Dominic Joyce. Algebraic Geometry over C[∞]-rings, 2016, available at https://arxiv.org/pdf/1001.0023.pdf.
- [7] Chris Miller. Exponentiation is hard to avoid. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 122 (1994), no. 1, 257–259.
- [8] Chris Miller. Basics of O-minimality and Hardy fields. Lecture Notes on O-minimal Structures and Real Analytic Geometry, Fields Institute Communications, Springer, New York, 2012.
- [9] Ieke Moerdijk, Gonzalo E. Reyes. Rings of smooth functions and their localizations I, J. Algebra 99 (1986), 324–336.
- [10] Ieke Moerdijk, Gonzalo E. Reyes. Models for smooth infinitesimals analysis, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [11] Loring W. Tu. An Introduction to Manifolds. Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, New York, 2008.

Rodrigo Figueiredo Department of Mathematics University of São Paulo (USP) Rua do Matão 1010, CEP 05508-090, São Paulo, SP, Brazil *E-mail:* rodrigof@ime.usp.br

Hugo Luiz Mariano Department of Mathematics University of São Paulo (USP) Rua do Matão 1010, CEP 05508-090, São Paulo, SP, Brazil *E-mail:* hugomar@ime.usp.br